

Jeffrey B. Cereghino, Esq., State Bar No. 99480  
Steven R. Weinmann, Esq., State Bar No. 190956  
**BERDING & WEIL LLP**  
3240 Stone Valley Road West  
Alamo, California 94507  
925/838-2090 F: 925/820-5592

Michael F. Ram, Esq. State Bar No. 104805  
Arthur D. Levy, Esq. State Bar No. 95659  
**LEVY RAM & OLSON LLP**  
639 Front Street, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94111-1913  
415/433-4949 F: 415/433-7311

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
EDUARDO CARIAS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

EDUARDO CARIAS, on behalf of himself      No. 3:07-cv-00083 SC  
and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~  
ORDER EXTENDING MANDATORY  
ADR COMPLIANCE DATE**

LENOX FINANCIAL MORTGAGE  
CORPORATION, LSI TITLE COMPANY;  
and DOES 1 through 25,

Defendants.

The Court has referred this case to mediation pursuant to the Court's Mandatory ADR Program. In a conference call with the Mediator, Jonathan Schmidt, the parties and the mediator agreed that it was unlikely that a productive mediation could be conducted until after the Court has decided defendants' pending Motions for Summary Judgment.

On September 7, 2007, the Court continued the hearing of defendants' Motions for Summary Judgment to November 30, 2007. The parties desire to hold the mediation session after the Court has ruled on these motions.

The current mandatory ADR compliance date is November 2, 2007 pursuant to the Corrected Scheduling Order, filed August 13, 2007. In light of the Court's Order continuing the

summary judgment hearing, the parties stipulate that the ADR compliance date may be extended until December 21, 2007 and ask the Court to so order.

September 25, 2007

LEVY, RAM & OLSON LLP

By Arthur D. Levy  
Arthur D. Levy

September \_\_\_\_, 2007

BERDING & WEIL, LLP

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Jeffrey B. Cereghino

September \_\_\_\_, 2007

THE DAVIS LAW FIRM

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
James Smith

September \_\_\_\_, 2007

KEESAL, YOUNG & LOGAN

By : \_\_\_\_\_  
Ben Suter

ORDER

Good cause appearing, the Court hereby extends the date for the parties to complete mediation pursuant to the Court's mandatory ADR Rules and Order to and including December 21, 2007.

Dated: September 27, 2007



1 summary judgment hearing, the parties stipulate that the ADR compliance date may be extended  
2 until December 21, 2007 and ask the Court to so order.

3 September \_\_, 2007

LEVY, RAM & OLSON LLP

4  
5 By \_\_\_\_\_  
Arthur D. Levy

6 September \_\_, 2007

BERDING & WEIL, LLP

7  
8 By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Jeffrey B. Cereghino

9 September 21, 2007

THE DAVIS LAW FIRM

10  
11 By:   
James Smith

12 September \_\_, 2007

KEESAL, YOUNG & LOGAN

13  
14 By : \_\_\_\_\_  
Ben Suter

15  
16 ORDER

17 Good cause appearing, the Court hereby extends the date for the parties to complete  
18 mediation pursuant to the Court's mandatory ADR Rules and Order to and including December  
19 21, 2007.

20  
21  
22 Dated: September \_\_, 2007

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

summary judgment hearing, the parties stipulate that the ADR compliance date may be extended until December 21, 2007 and ask the Court to so order.

September \_\_, 2007

LEVY, RAM & OLSON LLP

By

Arthur D. Levy

September \_\_, 2007

BERDING & WEIL, LLP

By:

Jeffrey B. Cereghino

September \_\_, 2007

THE DAVIS LAW FIRM

By:

James Smith

September 14, 2007

KEESAL, YOUNG & LOGAN

By :

Ben Suter

ORDER

Good cause appearing, the Court hereby extends the date for the parties to complete mediation pursuant to the Court's mandatory ADR Rules and Order to and including December 21, 2007.

Dated: September \_\_, 2007

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE